

Law and Policy of the European Union advanced - M3031 - Nuno Ferreira (Aut / Spr)

View Online



1.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: EU law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

2.

Foster, N. ed: Blackstone's EU treaties & legislation 2017-2018. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

3.

Que

nivet, N.N.R., Dadomo, C.: European Union law. Hall & Stott Publishing, Saltford (2020).

4.

Chalmers, D., Davies, G., Monti, G.: European Union law: text and materials. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2014).

5.

Barnard, C., Peers, S. eds: European Union law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

6.

Schütze, R.: European Union law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2015).

7.

Schu

tze, R.: European constitutional law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2016).

8.

Barnard, C.: The substantive law of the EU: the four freedoms. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2016).

9.

Woods, L., Watson, P., Costa, M., Steiner, J.: Steiner & Woods EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

10.

Fairhurst, J.: Law of the European Union. Pearson, New York (2016).

11.

Fairhurst, J.: Law of the European Union. Pearson, New York, N.Y. (2016).

12.

Dashwood, A., Wyatt, D.: Wyatt and Dashwood's European Union law. Hart (2011).

13.

Dashwood, A., Arnull, A.: A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart Publishing (2011).

14.

Dashwood, A., Arnall, A.: A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart (2011).

15.

Jacobs, F.G., Arnall, A., Eeckhout, P., Tridimas, T.: Continuity and change in EU law: essays in honour of Sir Francis Jacobs. Oxford University Press (2008).

16.

de
Bu

rca, G., Weiler, J.H.H. eds: The European Court of Justice. Oxford University Press (2001).

17.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: The evolution of EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

18.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: The evolution of EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

19.

Hartley, T.C.: The foundations of European Union law: an introduction to the constitutional and administrative law of the European Union. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

20.

Hartley, T.C.: Constitutional problems of the European Union. Hart (1999).

21.

Tridimas, T.: The general principles of EU law. Oxford University Press (2015).

22.

Tridimas, T.: The general principles of EU law. Oxford University Press (2006).

23.

Ward, I.: A critical introduction to European law. Cambridge University Press (2009).

24.

Weatherill, S.: Cases and materials on EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2016).

25.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: EU law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

26.

Harpaz, G.: European Integration in the Aftermath of the Ratification of the Treaty of Lisbon: Quo Vadis? European Public Law. 17, 73–89 (2011).

27.

Klamert, M.: Conflicts of legal basis: No legality and no basis but a bright future under the Lisbon treaty? European Law Review. 35, 497–515.

28.

Hinarejos, A.: Integration in criminal matters and the role of the Court of Justice. European

Law Review. 36, 420–430 (2011).

29.

Baker, E., Harding, C.: From past imperfect to future perfect? A longitudinal study of the third pillar. *European Law Review*. 34, 25–54.

30.

Mitsilegas, V.: The third wave of third pillar law. Which direction for EU criminal justice? *European Law Review*. 34, 523–560 (2009).

31.

Craig, P.: The Treaty of Lisbon, process, architecture and substance. *European Law Review*. 33, 137–166 (2008).

32.

Peers, S.: The European Community's criminal law competence: the plot thickens. *European Law Review*. 33, 399–410 (2008).

33.

Herlin-Karnell, E.: The Ship–Source Pollution Case C-440/05, *Commission v. Council*, Judgment of 23 October 2007 (Grand Chamber). *European Public Law*. 14, 533–544 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.54648/EURO2008036>.

34.

Dinan, D.: Institutions and Governance: A New Treaty, a Newly Elected Parliament and a New Commission. *Journal of Common Market Studies*. 48, 95–118.

35.

Conway, G.: Recovering a Separation of Powers in the European Union. *European Law Journal*. 17, 304–322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00552.x>.

36.

Mikko, M.: Unveiling the Council of the European Union | Ch 2: 'Voting and coalitions in the Council after Enlargement'. In: Naurin, D. and Wallace, H. (eds.) Unveiling the Council of the European Union: games governments play in Brussels. pp. 23–35. Palgrave Macmillan (2008).

37.

Konig, T., Junge, D.: Why Don't Veto Players Use Their Power? European Union Politics. 10, 507–534. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116509346780>.

38.

de Waele, H., Broeksteeg, H.: The semi-permanent European Council Presidency: Some reflections on the law and early practice. Common Market Law Review. 49, 1039–1074 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2012038>.

39.

Dinan, D.: Governance and Institutions: Implementing the Lisbon Treaty in the Shadow of the Euro Crisis. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies. 49, 103–121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02183.x>.

40.

BARBER, T.: The Appointments of Herman van Rompuy and Catherine Ashton. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies. 48, 55–67 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02093.x>.

41.

Editorial Comments: The post-Lisbon institutional package: Do old habits die hard? Common Market Law Review. 47, 597–604 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2010027>.

42.

Dinan, D.: Institutions and Governance: A New Treaty, a Newly Elected Parliament and a New Commission. *Journal of Common Market Studies*. 48, 95–118.

43.

Bale, T., Hanley, S., Szczerbiak, A.: 'May Contain Nuts'? The Reality behind the Rhetoric Surrounding the British Conservatives' New Group in the European Parliament. *Political Quarterly*. 81, 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-923X.2009.02067.x>.

44.

Hagemann, S., Høyland, B.: Bicameral Politics in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 48, 811–833. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02075.x>.

45.

Neyer, J.: Justice, Not Democracy: Legitimacy in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 48, 903–921. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02079.x>.

46.

Crespy, A., Gajewska, K.: New Parliament, New Cleavages after the Eastern Enlargement? The Conflict over the Services Directive as an Opposition between the Liberals and the Regulators. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 48, 1185–1208. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02109.x>.

47.

Buzek, J.: State of the Union: Three Cheers for the Lisbon Treaty and Two Warnings for Political Parties. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 49, 7–18. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02190.x>.

48.

Rittberger, B.: Institutionalizing Representative Democracy in the European Union: The Case of the European Parliament. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 50, 18–37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02225.x>.

49.

Barents, R.: The Court of Justice after the Treaty of Lisbon. *Common Market Law Review*. 47, 709–728 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2010031>.

50.

Editorial Comments: Delivering justice: Small and bigger steps at the ECJ. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 987–993 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2011040>.

51.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

52.

Hagemann, S., Høyland, B.: Bicameral Politics in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 48, 811–833. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02075.x>.

53.

Héritier, A.: Institutional Change in Europe: Co-decision and Comitology Transformed. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 50, 38–54. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02226.x>.

54.

de Ruiter, R., Neuhold, C.: Why Is Fast Track the Way to Go? Justifications for Early Agreement in the Co-Decision Procedure and Their Effects. *European Law Journal*. 18, 536–554. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00617.x>.

55.

Klamert, M.: Conflicts of legal basis: No legality and no basis but a bright future under the Lisbon Treaty? *European Law Review*. 35, 497–515.

56.

Craig, P.: The ECJ and ultra vires action: A conceptual analysis. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 395–437 (2011).

57.

Trubek, D.M., Trubek, L.G.: Hard and Soft Law in the Construction of Social Europe: the Role of the Open Method of Co-ordination. *European Law Journal*. 11, 343–364. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2005.00263.x>.

58.

Szyszczyk, E.: Experimental Governance: The Open Method of Coordination. *European Law Journal*. 12, 486–502. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2006.00329.x>.

59.

Hatzopoulos, V.: Why the Open Method of Coordination Is Bad For You: A Letter to the EU. *European Law Journal*. 13, 309–342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00368.x>.

60.

Sabel, C.F., Zeitlin, J.: Learning from Difference: The New Architecture of Experimentalist Governance in the EU. *European Law Journal*. 14, 271–327. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2008.00415.x>.

61.

Büchs, M.: How Legitimate is the Open Method of Co-ordination? *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 46, 765–786. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2008.00804.x>.

62.

Dawson, M.: The ambiguity of social Europe in the open method of coordination. *European Law Review*. 34, 55–79 (2009).

63.

Shore, C.: 'European Governance' or Governmentality? The European Commission and the Future of Democratic Government. *European Law Journal*. 17, 287–303.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00551.x>.

64.

Dawson, M.: Three waves of new governance in the European Union. *European Law Review*. 36, 208–225 (2011).

65.

Cass, D.Z.: The Word that Saves Maastricht? The Principle of Subsidiarity and the Division of Powers within the European Community. *Common Market Law Review*. 29, 1107–1136.

66.

Toth, A.G.: Is subsidiarity justiciable? *European Law Review*. 19, (1994).

67.

Emiliou, N.: Subsidiarity: Panacea or fig leaf? □□ Legal issues of the Maastricht treaty. In: *Legal issues of the Maastricht treaty*. pp. 65–83. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Chichester (1994).

68.

Estella de Noriega, A.: *The EU principle of subsidiarity and its critique*. Oxford University Press (2002).

69.

Barber, N.W.: The Limited Modesty of Subsidiarity. *European Law Journal*. 11, 308–325.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2005.00261.x>.

70.

Davies, G.: Subsidiarity: The wrong idea, in the wrong place, at the wrong time. *Common Market Law Review*. 43, 63–84 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2005083>.

71.

Cooper, I.: The Watchdogs of Subsidiarity: National Parliaments and the Logic of Arguing in the EU. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 44, 281–304. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2006.00623.x>.

72.

Barrett, G.: The king is dead, long live the king": the recasting by the Treaty of Lisbon of the provisions of the Constitutional Treaty concerning national parliaments. *European Law Review*. 33, 66–84 (2008).

73.

Kiiver, P.: The Treaty of Lisbon, The National Parliaments and the Principle of Subsidiarity. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law*. 15, 77–84 (2008).

74.

Schütze, R.: Subsidiarity after Lisbon: Reinforcing the Safeguards of Federalism? *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 68, 525–536 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197309990183>.

75.

Kiiver, P.: The early-warning system for the principle of subsidiarity: the national parliament as a Conseil d'Etat for Europe. *European Law Review*. 36, 98–108 (2011).

76.

Cygan, A.: The parliamentarisation of EU decision-making? The impact of the Treaty of Lisbon on national parliaments. *European Law Review*. 36, 480–499 (2011).

77.

de León, S.A.: Regions and Subsidiarity in the European Union: A Look at the Role of the Spanish and other Regional Parliaments in the Monitoring of Compliance with the Principle of Subsidiarity. *European Public Law*. 18, 305–321 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.54648/EURO2012017>.

78.

Craig, P.: Subsidiarity: A Political and Legal Analysis. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 50, 72–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02228.x>.

79.

Horsley, T.: Subsidiarity and the European Court of Justice: Missing Pieces in the Subsidiarity Jigsaw? *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 50, 267–282.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02221.x>.

80.

Beck, G.: The Lisbon Judgment of the German Constitutional Court, the Primacy of EU Law and the Problem of Kompetenz-Kompetenz: A Conflict between Right and Right in Which There is No Praetor. *European Law Journal*. 17, 470–494.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00559.x>.

81.

MacCormick, N.: Beyond the Sovereign State. *The Modern Law Review*. 56, 1–18.

82.

Walker, N.: The Idea of Constitutional Pluralism. *Modern Law Review*. 65, 317–359.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00383>.

83.

Kumm, M.: The Jurisprudence of Constitutional Conflict: Constitutional Supremacy in Europe before and after the Constitutional Treaty. *European Law Journal*. 11, 262–307.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2005.00260.x>.

84.

Lenaerts, K., Corthaut, T.: Of birds and hedges: the role of primacy in invoking norms of EU law. *European Law Review*. 31, 287–315 (2006).

85.

Schutze, R.: Dual federalism constitutionalised: the emergence of exclusive competences in the EC legal order. *European Law Review*. 32, (2007).

86.

Dougan, M.: When worlds collide! Competing visions of the relationship between direct effect and supremacy. *Common Market Law Review*. 44, 931–963 (2007).

87.

Dickson, J.: Directives in EU Legal Systems: Whose Norms Are They Anyway? *European Law Journal*. 17, 190–212. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00544.x>.

88.

Sabel, C.F., Gerstenberg, O.: Constitutionalising an Overlapping Consensus: The ECJ and the Emergence of a Coordinate Constitutional Order. *European Law Journal*. 16, 511–550. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2009.00521.x>.

89.

von Bogdandy, A., Schill, S.W.: Overcoming absolute primacy: Respect for national identity under the Lisbon Treaty. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 1417–1453 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2011057>.

90.

Avbelj, M.: Theory of European Union. *European Law Review*. 36, 818–836 (2011).

91.

Avbelj, M.: Supremacy or Primacy of EU Law-(Why) Does it Matter? *European Law Journal*. 17, 744–763. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00560.x>.

92.

Isiksel, N.T.: Fundamental rights in the EU after Kadi and Al Barakaat. *European Law Journal*. 16, 551–577. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00522.x>.

93.

Griller, S.: International Law, Human Rights and the Community's Autonomous Legal Order. *European Constitutional Law Review*. 4, 528–553. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1574019608005282>.

94.

Kunoy, B., Dawes, A.: Plate tectonics in Luxembourg: The ménage à trois between EC law, international law and the European Convention on Human Rights following the UN sanctions cases. *Common Market Law Review*. 46, 73–104 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2009003>.

95.

Koutrakos, P.: The Court of Justice as the guardian of national courts - or not? *European Law Review*. 36, 319–320 (2011).

96.

Lock, T.: Taking national courts more seriously? Comment on Opinion 1/09. *European Law Review*. 36, 576–588 (2011).

97.

Craig, P.: The European Union Act 2011: Locks, limits and legality. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 1915–1944 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2011074>.

98.

Gordon, M., Dougan, M.: The United Kingdom's European Union Act 2011: 'who won the bloody war anyway?' *European Law Review*. 37, 3-30 (2012).

99.

Rawlings, R.: Legal politics: the United Kingdom and ratification of the Treaty on European Union: Part 1. *Public Law*. 254-278.

100.

Rawlings, R.: Legal politics: the United Kingdom and ratification of the Treaty on European Union: Part 2. *Public Law*. 367-391.

101.

Herdegen, M.: Maastricht and the German Constitutional Court: Constitutional Restraints for an "Ever Closer Union" and Document "Extracts from: Brunner v. The European Union Treaty (Bundesverfassungsgericht). *Common Market Law Review*. 31, 235-262 (1994).

102.

Weiler, J.H.H.: Does Europe Need a Constitution? Demos, Telos and the German Maastricht Decision. *European Law Journal*. 1, 219-258.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.1995.tb00030.x>.

103.

Castillo de la Torre, F.: Tribunal Constitucional (Spanish Constitutional Court), Opinion 1/2004 of 13 December 2004, on the Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe. *Common Market Law Review*. 42, 1169-1202 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2005033>.

104.

Chalmers, D.: Editorial: Constitutional modesty. *European Law Review*. 30, (2005).

105.

Thym, D.: In the Name of Sovereign Statehood: A Critical Introduction to the Lisbon judgment of the German Constitutional Court. *Common Market Law Review*. 46, 1795–1822 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2009074>.

106.

Kiiver, P.: The Lisbon Judgment of the German Constitutional Court: A Court-Ordered Strengthening of the National Legislature in the EU. *European Law Journal*. 16, 578–588. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00523.x>.

107.

Ziller, J.: The German Constitutional Court's Friendliness towards European Law: On the Judgment of Bundesverfassungsgericht over the Ratification of the Treaty of Lisbon. *European Public Law*. 16, 53–73 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.54648/EURO2010004>.

108.

Payandeh, M.: Constitutional review of EU law after Honeywell : Contextualizing the relationship between the German Constitutional Court and the EU Court of Justice. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 9–38 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2011002>.

109.

Pliakos, A., Anagnostaras, G.: Who is the ultimate arbiter? The battle over judicial supremacy in EU law. *European Law Review*. 36, 109–123 (2011).

110.

Zbírál, R.: Czech Constitutional Court, judgment of 31 January 2012, Pl. ÚS 5/12. A Legal revolution or negligible episode? Court of Justice decision proclaimed ultra vires. *Common Market Law Review*. 49, 1475–1491 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2012072>.

111.

von der Groeben, C.: Aida Torres Perez. Conflicts of Rights in the European Union. A Theory of Supranational Adjudication. *European Journal of International Law*. 22, 296–300. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chr017>.

112.

Eckes, C.: Protecting Supremacy from External Influences: A Precondition for a European Constitutional Legal Order? *European Law Journal*. 18, 230–250.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00595.x>.

113.

Itzcovich, G.: Legal Order, Legal Pluralism, Fundamental Principles. *Europe and Its Law in Three Concepts*. *European Law Journal*. 18, 358–384.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00604.x>.

114.

Scicluna, N.: When Failure isn't Failure: European Union Constitutionalism after the Lisbon Treaty. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 50, 441–456.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02239.x>.

115.

Hilson, C., Downes, T.A.: Making sense of rights: Community rights in E.C. law. *European Law Review*. 24, (1999).

116.

Tridimas, T.: Black, White, and Shades of Grey: Horizontality of Directives Revisited. *Yearbook of European Law*. 21, 327–354 (2001).

117.

Barnard, C. ed: *The Cambridge yearbook of European legal studies: Vol. 9: 2006-2007*. Hart Pub, Oxford (2007).

118.

Barnard, C. ed: *The Cambridge yearbook of European legal studies: Volume 9: 2006-2007*. Hart, Oxford (2007).

119.

Dougan, M.: When worlds collide! Competing visions of the relationship between direct effect and supremacy. *Common Market Law Review*. 44, 931–963 (2007).

120.

Barnard, C., *Academy of European Law: The fundamentals of EU law revisited: assessing the impact of the constitutional debate*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

121.

Academy of European Law (Florence, Italy): The fundamentals of EU law revisited: assessing the impact of the constitutional debate. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

122.

Craig, P.: The legal effect of Directives: policy, rules and exceptions. *European Law Review*. 34, (2009).

123.

Leczykiewicz, D.: 'Effective judicial protection' of human rights after Lisbon: should national courts be empowered to review EU secondary law? *European Law Review*. 35, 326–348 (2010).

124.

Niglia, L.: Form and Substance in European Constitutional Law: The 'Social' Character of Indirect Effect. *European Law Journal*. 16, 439–457.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00517.x>.

125.

Papadopoulos, T.: Criticising the horizontal direct effect of the EU general principle of equality. *European Law Review*. (2011).

126.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: The evolution of EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

127.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: The evolution of EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

128.

Editorial Comments: Horizontal direct effect – A law of diminishing coherence? Common Market Law Review. 43, 1–8 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2006001>.

129.

Arnull, A.: Out with the old... European Law Review. 31, 1–2 (2006).

130.

Jans, J.H.: The Effect in National Legal Systems of the Prohibition of Discrimination on Grounds of Age as a General Principle of Community Law. Legal Issues of Economic Integration. 34, 53–66 (2007).

131.

Masson, A., Micheau, C.: The Werner Mangold Case: An Example of Legal Militancy. European Public Law. 13, 587–593 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.54648/EURO2007034>.

132.

Craig, P.: The legal effect of Directives: policy, rules and exceptions. European Law Review.

34, (2009).

133.

Thüsing, G., Horler, S.: Case C-555/07, Seda Küçükdeveci v. Swedex, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 19 January 2010. *Common Market Law Review*. 47, 1161–1172 (2010).

134.

Peers, S.: Supremacy, equality and human rights: comment on Kucukdeveci (C-555/07). *European Law Review*. 35, 849–856 (2010).

135.

The scope of application of the general principles of Union law: An ever expanding Union? *Common Market Law Review*. 47, 1589–1596 (2010).

136.

Muir, E.: Of ages in – and edges of – EU law. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 39–62 (2011).

137.

Arnull, A., Barnard, C., Dougan, M.: *Constitutional Order of States?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Bloomsbury Publishing (2011).

138.

Dashwood, A., Arnull, A.: *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Hart, Oxford (2011).

139.

Drake, S.: Twenty years after Von Colson: the impact of 'indirect effect' on the protection of the individual's community rights. *European Law Review*. 30, 329–348 (2005).

140.

Betlem, G.: The Doctrine of Consistent Interpretation: Managing Legal Uncertainty. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 22, 397–418.

141.

Craig, P.P.: Directives: direct effect, indirect effect and the construction of national legislation. *European Law Review*. 22, (1997).

142.

Figueroa Regueiro, P.V.: Invocability of Substitution and Invocability of Exclusion: Bringing Legal Realism to the Current Developments of the Case-Law of 'Horizontal' Direct Effect of Directives. *The Jean Monnet Working Papers*. 7, (2002).

143.

Niglia, L.: Form and Substance in European Constitutional Law: The 'Social' Character of Indirect Effect. *European Law Journal*. 16, 439–457.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00517.x>.

144.

Ross, M.: Beyond Francovich. *The Modern Law Review*. 56, 55–73 (1993).

145.

Harlow, C.: Francovich and the Problem of the Disobedient State. *European Law Journal*. 2, 199–225. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.1996.tb00026.x>.

146.

Georgios Anagnostaras: Not as unproblematic as you might think: the establishment of causation in governmental liability actions. *European Law Review*.

147.

Anagnostaras, Georgios: State Liability and Alternative Courses of Action: How Independent Can an Autonomous Remedy Be? *Yearbook of European Law*. 21, (2001).

148.

Breuer, M.: State liability for judicial wrongs and Community law: the case of Gerhard Kobler v Austria. *European Law Review*. 29, 243-254 (2004).

149.

Tison, M.: Do not attack the watchdog! Banking supervisor's liability after Peter Paul. *Common Market Law Review*. 42, 639-675 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2005024>.

150.

Roy W. Davis: Liability in damages for a breach of Community law: some reflections on the question of who to sue and the concept of 'the State'. *European Law Review*. 31, (2006).

151.

Cabral, P., Chaves, M.C.: Member State Liability for Decisions of National Courts Adjudicating at Last Instance. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law*. 109-126 (2006).

152.

Dimitra Nassimpian: ...And we keep on meeting: (de)fragmenting state liability. *European Law Review*. 32, (2007).

153.

Havu, K.: Horizontal Liability for Damages in EU Law-the Changing Relationship of EU and National Law. *European Law Journal*. 18, 407-426.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00606.x>.

154.

Beutler, Björn: State liability for breaches of Community law by national courts: Is the requirement of a manifest infringement of the applicable law an insurmountable obstacle? *Common Market Law Review*. 46, 773–804.

155.

Eilmansberger, T.: The relationship between rights and remedies in EC law: In search of the missing link. *Common Market Law Review*. 41, 1199–1246.

156.

Kilpatrick, C., Novitz, T., Skidmore, P. eds: *The future of remedies in Europe*. Hart Publishing, Oxford (2000).

157.

Kilpatrick, C., Novitz, T., Skidmore, P.: *The future of remedies in Europe*. Hart (2000).

158.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

159.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

160.

Ross, M.: *Effectiveness in the European legal order(s): beyond supremacy to constitutional*

proportionality? *European Law Review*. 31, (2006).

161.

Accetto, M., Zleptnig, S.: *The Principle of Effectiveness: Rethinking Its Role in Community Law*. *European Public Law*. 11, 375–403 (2005).

162.

Wattel, P.J.: *National Procedural Autonomy and Effectiveness of EC Law: Challenge the Charge, File for Restitution, Sue for Damages?* *Legal Issues of Economic Integration*. 35, 109–132 (2008).

163.

Beatson, J., Tridimas, T. eds: *New directions in European public law*. Hart Pub, Oxford (1998).

164.

Beatson, J., Tridimas, T. eds: *New directions in European public law*. Hart Pub, Oxford (1998).

165.

Van Gerven, W.: *Bridging the Unbridgeable: Community and National Tort Laws after Francovich and Brasserie*. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 45, 507–544.

166.

Caranta, R.: *Judicial protection against Member States: a new jus commune takes shape*. *Common Market law review*. 32, 703–706.

167.

Legrand, P.: *European Legal Systems Are Not Converging*. *The International and*

Comparative Law Quarterly. 45, 52–81 (1996).

168.

Granger, M.-P.F.: National applications of Francovich and the construction of a European administrative *ius commune*. *European Law Review*. 32, 157–192 (2007).

169.

Reich, Norbert: Horizontal liability in EC law: Hybridization of remedies for compensation in case of breaches of EC rights. *Common Market Law Review*. 44, 705–742.

170.

Lenaerts, Koen: The Rule of Law and the Coherence of the Judicial System of the European Union. *Common Market Law Review*. 44, 1625–1659 (2007).

171.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

172.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

173.

Knocking on Heaven's Door: Fragmentation, Efficiency and Defiance in the Preliminary Reference Procedure. *Common Market Law Review*. 40, 9–50 (2010).

<https://doi.org/10.54648/5115417>.

174.

Wattel, P.J.: Köbler , CILFIT and Welthgrove : We can't go on meeting like this. Common Market Law Review. 41, 177–190 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2003075>.

175.

Allott, P.: Preliminary rulings - another infant disease. European Law Review. 25, 538–547 (2000).

176.

Barents, R.: Court of Justice in the Draft Constitution, The. Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law. 11, (2004).

177.

Komarek, J.: In the court(s) we trust? On the need for hierarchy and differentiation in the preliminary ruling procedure. European Law Review. 32, 467–491 (2007).

178.

Giorgi, F., Triart, N.: National Judges, Community Judges: Invitation to a Journey through the Looking-glass-On the Need for Jurisdictions to Rethink the Inter-systemic Relations beyond the Hierarchical Principle. European Law Journal. 14, 693–717.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2008.00441.x>.

179.

European Law Journal. 14,.

180.

Rasmussen, H.: The European Court's acte clair strategy in CILFIT. European Law Review. 9, 242–259 (1984).

181.

Mancini, G.F., Keeling, D.T.: From CILFIT to ERT: The Constitutional Challenge facing the European Court. *Yearbook of European law*. 11, 1-13 (1991).

182.

Broberg, M.: Acte clair revisited: Adapting the acte clair criteria to the demands of the times. *Common Market Law Review*. 45, 1383-1397 (2008).

183.

Komarek, J.: In the court(s) we trust? On the need for hierarchy and differentiation in the preliminary ruling procedure. *European Law Review*. 32, 467-491 (2007).

184.

Arnall, A.: The Law Lords and the European Union: swimming with the incoming tide. *European Law Review*. 35, 57-87 (2010).

185.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

186.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

187.

Dashwood, A., Arnall, A.: *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Hart Pub, Oxford [UK] (2011).

188.

Dashwood, A., Arnall, A.: A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart (2011).

189.

Greer, S., Williams, A.: Human Rights in the Council of Europe and the EU: Towards 'Individual', 'Constitutional' or 'Institutional' Justice? *European Law Journal*. 15, 462–481. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2009.00473.x>.

190.

Morano-Foadi, S., Andreadakis, S.: Reflections on the Architecture of the EU after the Treaty of Lisbon: The European Judicial Approach to Fundamental Rights. *European Law Journal*. 17, 595–610. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00568.x>.

191.

De Witte, B.: Ch. 27: 'The past and future role of the European Court of Justice in the protection of Human Rights' □□ *The EU and human rights*. In: Alston, P., Bustelo, M.R., and Heenan, J. (eds.) *The EU and human rights*. pp. 859–897. Oxford University Press (1999).

192.

Alston, P., Weiler, J.H.H.: An 'Ever Closer Union' in Need of a Human Rights Policy. *European Journal of International Law*. 9, 658–723 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/9.4.658>.

193.

Coppel, J., O'Neill, A.: The European Court of Justice: taking rights seriously? *Legal Studies*. 12, 227–239. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.1992.tb00467.x>.

194.

Weiler, J.H.H., Lockhart, N.J.S.: "Taking rights seriously" seriously: The European Court and its fundamental rights jurisprudence – part I. *Common Market Law Review*. 32, 51–94

(1995).

195.

Jacobs, F.G.: Human rights in the European Union: the role of the Court of Justice. *European Law Review*. 26, (2001).

196.

Israel De Jesus Butler: Ensuring compliance with the Charter of Fundamental Rights in legislative drafting: the practice of the European Commission. *European Law Review*. 37, (2012).

197.

Belling, V.: Supranational Fundamental Rights or Primacy of Sovereignty? *European Law Journal*. 18, 251–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00596.x>.

198.

Hepple, B.: The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. *Industrial Law Journal*. 30, 225–231 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ilj/30.2.225>.

199.

Jacobs, F.G.: Human rights in the European Union: the role of the Court of Justice. *European Law Review*. 26, (2001).

200.

La Torre, M.: The Law beneath Rights' Feet. Preliminary Investigation for a Study of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. *European Law Journal*. 8, 515–535. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0386.00163>.

201.

Liisberg, J.B.: Does the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights Threaten the Supremacy of

Community Law? Common Market Law Review. 38, 1171-1199 (2001).

202.

Shuibhne, N.N.: Margins of appreciation: national values, fundamental rights and EC free movement law. European Law Review. 34, 230-256 (2009).

203.

Shuibhne, N.N.: The reality of rights: from rhetoric to opt-out. European Law Review. 34, 815-816 (2009).

204.

Ortega, L.: Fundamental Rights in the European Constitution. European Public Law. 11, 363-373 (2005).

205.

Peers, S., Ward, A. eds: The European Union charter of fundamental rights: politics, law and policy. Hart Publishing (2004).

206.

Triantafyllou, D.: The European Charter of Fundamental Rights and the "Rule of Law": Restricting Fundamental Rights by Reference. Common Market Law Review. 39, 53-64 (2002).

207.

Barnard, C., Academy of European Law: The fundamentals of EU law revisited: assessing the impact of the constitutional debate. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

208.

Academy of European Law (Florence, Italy): The fundamentals of EU law revisited: assessing the impact of the constitutional debate. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

209.

Young, A.L.: The Charter, Constitution and Human Rights: is this the Beginning or the End for Human Rights Protections by Community Law? *European Public Law*. 11, 219–240 (2005).

210.

Jacqué, J.P.: The accession of the European Union to the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 995–1023 (2011).

211.

Lock, T.: Walking on a tightrope: The draft ECHR accession agreement and the autonomy of the EU legal order. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 1025–1054 (2011).

212.

Steering Committee for Human Rights (CDDH): Report to the Committee of Ministers on the elaboration of legal instruments for the accession of the European Union to the European Convention on Human Rights, <https://www.statewatch.org/media/documents/news/2011/oct/coe-eu-accession-echr-14-10.11.pdf>, (14)AD.

213.

Editorial Comments: Fundamental rights and EU membership: Do as I say, not as I do! *Common Market Law Review*. 49, 481–488 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2012017>.

214.

Von Bogdandy, A., Kottmann, M., Antpöhler, C., Dickschen, J., Hentrei, S., Smrkolj, M.: Reverse Solange—Protecting the essence of fundamental rights against EU Member States. *Common Market Law Review*. 49, 489–519 (2012).

215.

Grimmel, A.: Judicial Interpretation or Judicial Activism? The Legacy of Rationalism in the Studies of the European Court of Justice. *European Law Journal*. 18, 518–535.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00615.x>.

216.

Solanke, I.: 'Stop the ECJ'? An Empirical Analysis of Activism at the Court. *European Law Journal*. 17, 764–784. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00561.x>.

217.

Itzcovich, G.: Legal Order, Legal Pluralism, Fundamental Principles. *Europe and Its Law in Three Concepts*. *European Law Journal*. 18, 358–384.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00604.x>.

218.

Communication department of the European Commission ed: *History of the EU*,
https://european-union.europa.eu/principles-countries-history/history-eu_en.

219.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

220.

Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber), *European Parliament v Council of the European Union: Immigration policy - Right to family reunification of minor children of third country nationals - Directive 2003/86/EC - Protection of fundamental rights - Right to respect for family life - Obligation to have regard to the interests of minor children*. (27)AD.

221.

Eleanor Drywood: *Giving with one hand, taking with the other: fundamental rights, children*

and the family reunification decision. *European Law Review*.

222.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

223.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

224.

Craig, P.: Subsidiarity: A Political and Legal Analysis. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 50, 72–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02228.x>.

225.

Kiiver, P.: The early-warning system for the principle of subsidiarity: the national parliament as a Conseil d'Etat for Europe. *European Law Review*. 36, 98–108 (2011).

226.

Conway, G.: Recovering a Separation of Powers in the European Union. *European Law Journal*. 17, 304–322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00552.x>.

227.

Dinan, D.: Institutions and Governance: A New Treaty, a Newly Elected Parliament and a New Commission. *Journal of Common Market Studies*. 48, 95–118.

228.

Hagemann, S., Høyland, B.: Bicameral Politics in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 48, 811–833. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02075.x>.

229.

Craig, P.: The ECJ and ultra vires action: A conceptual analysis. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 395–437 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2011018>.

230.

Schütze, R.: Subsidiarity after Lisbon: Reinforcing the Safeguards of Federalism? *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 68, 525–536 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197309990183>.

231.

Cygan, A.: The parliamentarisation of EU decision-making? The impact of the Treaty of Lisbon on national parliaments. *European Law Review*. 36, 480–499 (2011).

232.

Horsley, T.: Subsidiarity and the European Court of Justice: Missing Pieces in the Subsidiarity Jigsaw? *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 50, 267–282. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02221.x>.

233.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

234.

Muir, E.: Of ages in – and edges of – EU law. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 39–62 (2011).

235.

Anthony Arnull: The principle of effective judicial protection in EU law: an unruly horse? *European Law Review*. 36, (2011).

236.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: The evolution of EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

237.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: The evolution of EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

238.

Thüsing, G., Horler, S.: Case C-555/07, Seda Küçükdeveci v. Swedex, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 19 January 2010. *Common Market Law Review*. 10, 1161-1172 (2010).

239.

Craig, P.: The legal effect of Directives: policy, rules and exceptions. *European Law Review*. 34, (2009).

240.

Dougan, M.: When worlds collide! Competing visions of the relationship between direct effect and supremacy. *Common Market Law Review*. 44, 931-963 (2007).

241.

Dashwood, A., Arnall, A.: A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart Pub, Oxford [UK] (2011).

242.

Dashwood, A., Arnall, A.: A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart (2011).

243.

Papadopoulos, T.: Criticising the horizontal direct effect of the EU general principle of equality. *European Law Review*. (2011).

244.

Peers, S.: Supremacy, equality and human rights: comment on *Kucukdeveci* (C-555/07). *European Law Review*. 35, 849–856 (2010).

245.

The scope of application of the general principles of Union law: An ever expanding Union? *Common Market Law Review*. 47, 1589–1596 (2010).

246.

Beutler, B.: State liability for breaches of Community law by national courts: Is the requirement of a manifest infringement of the applicable law an insurmountable obstacle? *Common Market Law Review*. 46, 773–804 (2009).

247.

Adam Cygan: Defining a sufficiently serious breach of Community law: the House of Lords casts its net into the waters. *European Law Review*. 25, (2000).

248.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

249.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: The evolution of EU law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

250.

Tridimas, T.: Liability for Breach of Community Law: Growing Up and Mellowing Down? *Common Market Law Review*. 38, 301–332 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.54648/334301>.

251.

Anagnostaras, G.: Not as unproblematic as you might think: the establishment of causation in governmental liability actions. *European Law Review (E.L. Rev.)*. 27, 663–676 (2002).

252.

Davis, R.W.: Liability in damages for a breach of Community law: some reflections on the question of who to sue and the concept of 'the State'. *European Law Review*. 31, 69–80 (2006).

253.

van Gerven, W.: Of rights, remedies and procedures. *Common Market Law Review*. 37, 501–536 (2000).

254.

Accetto, M., Zleptnig, S.: The Principle of Effectiveness: Rethinking Its Role in Community Law. *European Public Law*. 11, 375–403 (2005).

255.

British Institute of International and Comparative Law, Rijksuniversiteit te Leiden. Europa Instituut: *Common Market Law Review*.

256.

Ross, M.: Effectiveness in the European legal order(s): beyond supremacy to constitutional proportionality? *European Law Review*. 31, (2006).

257.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

258.

Komarek, J.: In the court(s) we trust? On the need for hierarchy and differentiation in the preliminary ruling procedure. *European Law Review*. 32, 467-491 (2007).

259.

Wattel, P.J.: Köbler , CILFIT and Welthgrove : We can't go on meeting like this. *Common Market Law Review*. 41, 177-190 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.54648/COLA2003075>.

260.

Broberg, M.: Acte clair revisited: Adapting the acte clair criteria to the demands of the times. *Common Market Law Review*. 45, 1383-1397 (2008).

261.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

262.

Craig, P.P., De
Bu

rca, G. eds: *The evolution of EU law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

263.

Craig, P.P., De Búrca, G.: EU law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2015).

264.

Greer, S., Williams, A.: Human Rights in the Council of Europe and the EU: Towards 'Individual', 'Constitutional' or 'Institutional' Justice? *European Law Journal*. 15, 462–481. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2009.00473.x>.

265.

Lock, T.: Walking on a tightrope: The draft ECHR accession agreement and the autonomy of the EU legal order. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 1025–1054 (2011).

266.

Dashwood, A., Arnall, A.: A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart Publishing (2011).

267.

Dashwood, A., Arnall, A.: A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart (2011).

268.

Shuibhne, N.N.: Margins of appreciation: national values, fundamental rights and EC free movement law. *European Law Review*. 34, 230–256 (2009).

269.

Jacobs, F.G.: Human rights in the European Union: the role of the Court of Justice. *European Law Review*. 26, (2001).

270.

Itzcovich, G.: Legal Order, Legal Pluralism, Fundamental Principles. Europe and Its Law in Three Concepts. *European Law Journal*. 18, 358–384.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00604.x>.

271.

Jacqué, J.P.: The accession of the European Union to the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. *Common Market Law Review*. 48, 995–1023 (2011).

272.

Vossestein, Gert-Jan: Cross-Border Transfer of Seat and Conversion of Companies under the EC Treaty Provisions on Freedom of Establishment. *European Company Law*. 6, 115–123.

273.

Berki, G.: Free movement of patients in the E.U.: a patient's perspective. *Intersentia*, [S.l.] (2018).

274.

Neve, G. de, Prentice, R. eds: *Unmaking the global sweatshop: health and safety of the world's garment workers*. University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (2017).

275.

Prentice, R., Neve, G. de eds: *Unmaking the global sweatshop: health and safety of the world's garment workers*. University of Pennsylvania Press, Pennsylvania (2017).