

# Law and Policy of the European Union advanced - M3031 - Nuno Ferreira (Aut / Spr)

View Online



---

1

Craig PP, De Búrca G. EU law: text, cases, and materials. Sixth. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

2

Foster N, editor. Blackstone's EU treaties & legislation 2017-2018. Twenty eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press

3

Que

nivet NNR, Dadomo C. European Union law. Third edition. Saltford: : Hall & Stott Publishing 2020.

4

Chalmers D, Davies G, Monti G. European Union law: text and materials. Third edition. Cambridge, United Kingdom: : Cambridge University Press 2014.

5

Barnard C, Peers S, editors. European Union law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017.

6

Schütze R. European Union law. Cambridge, United Kingdom: : Cambridge University Press 2015.

7

Schu

tze R. European constitutional law. Second edition. Cambridge, United Kingdom: : Cambridge University Press 2016.

8

Barnard C. The substantive law of the EU: the four freedoms. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2016.

9

Woods L, Watson P, Costa M, et al. Steiner & Woods EU law. Thirteenth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2017.

10

Fairhurst J. Law of the European Union. Eleventh edition. New York: : Pearson 2016.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/detail.action?docID=5175070>

11

Fairhurst J. Law of the European Union. Eleventh. New York, N.Y.: : Pearson 2016.

12

Dashwood A, Wyatt D. Wyatt and Dashwood's European Union law. 6th ed. Hart 2011.

13

Dashwood A, Arnall A. A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart Publishing 2011.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/detail.action?docID=752471>

14

Dashwood A, Arnall A. A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood. Hart 2011.

15

Jacobs FG, Arnall A, Eeckhout P, et al. Continuity and change in EU law: essays in honour of Sir Francis Jacobs. Oxford University Press 2008.

[http://eu01.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=10395381120002461&institutionId=2461&customerId=2460](http://eu01.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=10395381120002461&institutionId=2461&customerId=2460)

16

de  
Bu

rca G, Weiler JHH, editors. The European Court of Justice. Oxford University Press 2001.

17

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-live>

18

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

19

Hartley TC. The foundations of European Union law: an introduction to the constitutional and administrative law of the European Union. Eighth. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2014.

20

Hartley TC. Constitutional problems of the European Union. Hart 1999.

21

Tridimas T. The general principles of EU law. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press 2015.

22

Tridimas T. The general principles of EU law. Second. Oxford University Press 2006.  
<http://www.loc.gov/catdir/toc/ecip068/2006005363.html>

23

Ward I. A critical introduction to European law. 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press 2009.

24

Weatherill S. Cases and materials on EU law. Twelfth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2016.

25

Craig PP, De Búrca G. EU law: text, cases, and materials. Sixth. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

26

Harpaz G. European Integration in the Aftermath of the Ratification of the Treaty of Lisbon:

Quo Vadis? European Public Law 2011;**17**

:73–89.<http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=EURO201107>

27

Klamert M. Conflicts of legal basis: No legality and no basis but a bright future under the Lisbon Treaty? European Law Review;**35**:497–515.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

28

Hinarejos A. Integration in criminal matters and the role of the Court of Justice. European Law Review 2011;**36**:420–30.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

29

Baker E, Harding C. From past imperfect to future perfect? A longitudinal study of the third pillar. European Law Review;**34**:25–54.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

30

Mitsilegas V. The third wave of third pillar law. Which direction for EU criminal justice? European Law Review 2009;**34**:523–60.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

31

Craig P. The Treaty of Lisbon, process, architecture and substance. European Law Review 2008;**33**:137–66.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

32

Peers S. The European Community's criminal law competence: the plot thickens. European Law Review 2008;**33**:399–410.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

33

Herlin-Karnell E. The Ship–Source Pollution Case C–440/05, Commission v. Council ,

Judgment of 23 October 2007 (Grand Chamber). European Public Law 2008;**14**:533–44.  
doi:10.54648/EURO2008036

34

Dinan D. Institutions and Governance: A New Treaty, a Newly Elected Parliament and a New Commission. Journal of Common Market Studies;**48**:95–118.

35

Conway G. Recovering a Separation of Powers in the European Union. European Law Journal;**17**:304–22. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00552.x

36

Mikko M. Unveiling the Council of the European Union | Ch 2: 'Voting and coalitions in the Council after Enlargement'. In: Naurin D, Wallace H, eds. Unveiling the Council of the European Union: games governments play in Brussels. Palgrave Macmillan 2008. 23–35. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=ab345e06-b313-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

37

Konig T, Junge D. Why Don't Veto Players Use Their Power? European Union Politics;**10**:507–34. doi:10.1177/1465116509346780

38

de Waele H, Broeksteeg H. The semi-permanent European Council Presidency: Some reflections on the law and early practice. Common Market Law Review 2012;**49**:1039–74. doi:10.54648/COLA2012038

39

Dinan D. Governance and Institutions: Implementing the Lisbon Treaty in the Shadow of the Euro Crisis. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies;**49**:103–21. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02183.x

40

BARBER T. The Appointments of Herman van Rompuy and Catherine Ashton. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 2010;**48**:55–67. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02093.x

41

Editorial Comments: The post-Lisbon institutional package: Do old habits die hard? *Common Market Law Review* 2010;**47**:597–604. doi:10.54648/COLA2010027

42

Dinan D. Institutions and Governance: A New Treaty, a Newly Elected Parliament and a New Commission. *Journal of Common Market Studies*;**48**:95–118.

43

Bale T, Hanley S, Szczerbiak A. 'May Contain Nuts'? The Reality behind the Rhetoric Surrounding the British Conservatives' New Group in the European Parliament. *Political Quarterly*;**81**:85–98. doi:10.1111/j.1467-923X.2009.02067.x

44

Hagemann S, Høyland B. Bicameral Politics in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*;**48**:811–33. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02075.x

45

Neyer J. Justice, Not Democracy: Legitimacy in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*;**48**:903–21. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02079.x

46

Crespy A, Gajewska K. New Parliament, New Cleavages after the Eastern Enlargement? The Conflict over the Services Directive as an Opposition between the Liberals and the Regulators. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*;**48**:1185–208. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02109.x

47

Buzek J. State of the Union: Three Cheers for the Lisbon Treaty and Two Warnings for Political Parties. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **49**:7–18. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02190.x

48

Rittberger B. Institutionalizing Representative Democracy in the European Union: The Case of the European Parliament. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **50**:18–37. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02225.x

49

Barents R. The Court of Justice after the Treaty of Lisbon. *Common Market Law Review* 2010; **47**:709–28. doi:10.54648/COLA2010031

50

Editorial Comments: Delivering justice: Small and bigger steps at the ECJ. *Common Market Law Review* 2011; **48**:987–93. doi:10.54648/COLA2011040

51

Craig PP, De Búrca G. *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Sixth. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

52

Hagemann S, Høyland B. Bicameral Politics in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **48**:811–33. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02075.x

53

Héritier A. Institutional Change in Europe: Co-decision and Comitology Transformed. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **50**:38–54. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02226.x



54

de Ruyter R, Neuhold C. Why Is Fast Track the Way to Go? Justifications for Early Agreement in the Co-Decision Procedure and Their Effects. *European Law Journal*; **18**:536-54. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00617.x

55

Klamert M. Conflicts of legal basis: No legality and no basis but a bright future under the Lisbon Treaty? *European Law Review*; **35**:497-515. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

56

Craig P. The ECJ and ultra vires action: A conceptual analysis. *Common Market Law Review* 2011; **48**:395-437. <http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2011018>

57

Trubek DM, Trubek LG. Hard and Soft Law in the Construction of Social Europe: the Role of the Open Method of Co-ordination. *European Law Journal*; **11**:343-64. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2005.00263.x

58

Szyszczyk E. Experimental Governance: The Open Method of Coordination. *European Law Journal*; **12**:486-502. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2006.00329.x

59

Hatzopoulos V. Why the Open Method of Coordination Is Bad For You: A Letter to the EU. *European Law Journal*; **13**:309-42. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00368.x

60

Sabel CF, Zeitlin J. Learning from Difference: The New Architecture of Experimentalist Governance in the EU. *European Law Journal*; **14**:271-327. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2008.00415.x

61

Büchs M. How Legitimate is the Open Method of Co-ordination? *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **46**:765–86. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2008.00804.x

62

Dawson M. The ambiguity of social Europe in the open method of coordination. *European Law Review* 2009; **34**:55–79. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

63

Shore C. 'European Governance' or Governmentality? The European Commission and the Future of Democratic Government. *European Law Journal*; **17**:287–303. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00551.x

64

Dawson M. Three waves of new governance in the European Union. *European Law Review* 2011; **36**:208–25. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

65

Cass DZ. The Word that Saves Maastricht? The Principle of Subsidiarity and the Division of Powers within the European Community. *Common Market Law Review*; **29**:1107–36. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=2fd1d460-0bd1-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

66

Toth AG. Is subsidiarity justiciable? *European Law Review* 1994; **19**. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

67

Emiliou N. Subsidiarity: Panacea or fig leaf? *Legal issues of the Maastricht treaty. Illegal issues of the Maastricht treaty*. Chichester: : John Wiley and Sons Ltd 1994.

65-83.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c313c39c-ca3b-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

68

Estella de Noriega A. The EU principle of subsidiarity and its critique. Oxford University Press 2002.

69

Barber NW. The Limited Modesty of Subsidiarity. *European Law Journal*; **11**:308-25. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2005.00261.x

70

Davies G. Subsidiarity: The wrong idea, in the wrong place, at the wrong time. *Common Market Law Review* 2006; **43**:63-84. doi:10.54648/COLA2005083

71

Cooper I. The Watchdogs of Subsidiarity: National Parliaments and the Logic of Arguing in the EU. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **44**:281-304. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2006.00623.x

72

Barrett G. The king is dead, long live the king": the recasting by the Treaty of Lisbon of the provisions of the Constitutional Treaty concerning national parliaments. *European Law Review* 2008; **33**:66-84. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

73

Kiiver P. The Treaty of Lisbon, The National Parliaments and the Principle of Subsidiarity. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* 2008; **15**:77-84. <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=77&handle=hein.journals%2Fmaastje15&collection=journals>

74

Schütze R. Subsidiarity after Lisbon: Reinforcing the Safeguards of Federalism? *The Cambridge Law Journal* 2009;**68**:525–36. doi:10.1017/S0008197309990183

75

Kiiver P. The early-warning system for the principle of subsidiarity: the national parliament as a Conseil d'Etat for Europe. *European Law Review* 2011;**36**:98–108.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

76

Cygan A. The parliamentarisation of EU decision-making? The impact of the Treaty of Lisbon on national parliaments. *European Law Review* 2011;**36**:480–99.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

77

de León SA. Regions and Subsidiarity in the European Union: A Look at the Role of the Spanish and other Regional Parliaments in the Monitoring of Compliance with the Principle of Subsidiarity. *European Public Law* 2012;**18**:305–21. doi:10.54648/EURO2012017

78

Craig P. Subsidiarity: A Political and Legal Analysis. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **50**:72–87. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02228.x

79

Horsley T. Subsidiarity and the European Court of Justice: Missing Pieces in the Subsidiarity Jigsaw? *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **50**:267–82. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02221.x

80

Beck G. The Lisbon Judgment of the German Constitutional Court, the Primacy of EU Law and the Problem of Kompetenz-Kompetenz: A Conflict between Right and Right in Which There is No Praetor. *European Law Journal*; **17**:470–94.

doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00559.x

81

MacCormick N. Beyond the Sovereign State. *The Modern Law Review*; **56**:1-18. <https://sussex.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/1096572>

82

Walker N. The Idea of Constitutional Pluralism. *Modern Law Review*; **65**:317-59. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00383

83

Kumm M. The Jurisprudence of Constitutional Conflict: Constitutional Supremacy in Europe before and after the Constitutional Treaty. *European Law Journal*; **11**:262-307. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2005.00260.x

84

Lenaerts K, Corthout T. Of birds and hedges: the role of primacy in invoking norms of EU law. *European Law Review* 2006; **31**:287-315. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

85

Schutze R. Dual federalism constitutionalised: the emergence of exclusive competences in the EC legal order. *European Law Review* 2007; **32**. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

86

Dougan M. When worlds collide! Competing visions of the relationship between direct effect and supremacy. *Common Market Law Review* 2007; **44**:931-63. <https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/44.4/COLA2007095>

87

Dickson J. Directives in EU Legal Systems: Whose Norms Are They Anyway? *European Law Journal*; **17**:190–212. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00544.x

88

Sabel CF, Gerstenberg O. Constitutionalising an Overlapping Consensus: The ECJ and the Emergence of a Coordinate Constitutional Order. *European Law Journal*; **16**:511–50. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2009.00521.x

89

von Bogdandy A, Schill SW. Overcoming absolute primacy: Respect for national identity under the Lisbon Treaty. *Common Market Law Review* 2011; **48**:1417–53. doi:10.54648/COLA2011057

90

Avbelj M. Theory of European Union. *European Law Review* 2011; **36**:818–36. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

91

Avbelj M. Supremacy or Primacy of EU Law-(Why) Does it Matter? *European Law Journal*; **17**:744–63. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00560.x

92

Isiksel NT. Fundamental rights in the EU after Kadi and Al Barakaat. *European Law Journal*; **16**:551–77. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00522.x

93

Griller S. International Law, Human Rights and the Community's Autonomous Legal Order. *European Constitutional Law Review*; **4**:528–53. doi:10.1017/S1574019608005282

94

Kunoy B, Dawes A. Plate tectonics in Luxembourg: The ménage à trois between EC law, international law and the European Convention on Human Rights following the UN sanctions cases. *Common Market Law Review* 2009;**46**:73–104. doi:10.54648/COLA2009003

95

Koutrakos P. The Court of Justice as the guardian of national courts - or not? *European Law Review* 2011;**36**:319–20.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

96

Lock T. Taking national courts more seriously? Comment on Opinion 1/09. *European Law Review* 2011;**36**:576–88.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

97

Craig P. The European Union Act 2011: Locks, limits and legality. *Common Market Law Review* 2011;**48**:1915–44. doi:10.54648/COLA2011074

98

Gordon M, Dougan M. The United Kingdom's European Union Act 2011: 'who won the bloody war anyway?' *European Law Review* 2012;**37**:3–30.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

99

Rawlings R. Legal politics: the United Kingdom and ratification of the Treaty on European Union: Part 1. *Public Law*;:254–78.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

100

Rawlings R. Legal politics: the United Kingdom and ratification of the Treaty on European Union: Part 2. *Public Law*;:367–91.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

101

Herdegen M. Maastricht and the German Constitutional Court: Constitutional Restraints for an "Ever Closer Union" and Document "Extracts from: Brunner v. The European Union Treaty (Bundesverfassungsgericht). Common Market Law Review 1994;**31**:235–62. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4e45a038-b213-e711-80c9-005056af4099>

102

Weiler JHH. Does Europe Need a Constitution? Demos, Telos and the German Maastricht Decision. European Law Journal;**1**:219–58. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.1995.tb00030.x

103

Castillo de la Torre F. Tribunal Constitucional (Spanish Constitutional Court), Opinion 1/2004 of 13 December 2004, on the Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe. Common Market Law Review 2005;**42**:1169–202. doi:10.54648/COLA2005033

104

Chalmers D. Editorial: Constitutional modesty. European Law Review 2005;**30**. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

105

Thym D. In the Name of Sovereign Statehood: A Critical Introduction to the Lisbon judgment of the German Constitutional Court. Common Market Law Review 2009;**46**:1795–822. doi:10.54648/COLA2009074

106

Kiiver P. The Lisbon Judgment of the German Constitutional Court: A Court-Ordered Strengthening of the National Legislature in the EU. European Law Journal;**16**:578–88. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00523.x

107

Ziller J. The German Constitutional Court's Friendliness towards European Law: On the Judgment of Bundesverfassungsgericht over the Ratification of the Treaty of Lisbon. European Public Law 2010;**16**:53–73. doi:10.54648/EURO2010004



108

Payandeh M. Constitutional review of EU law after Honeywell : Contextualizing the relationship between the German Constitutional Court and the EU Court of Justice. *Common Market Law Review* 2011;**48**:9–38. doi:10.54648/COLA2011002

109

Pliakos A, Anagnostaras G. Who is the ultimate arbiter? The battle over judicial supremacy in EU law. *European Law Review* 2011;**36**:109–23.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

110

Zbírál R. Czech Constitutional Court, judgment of 31 January 2012, Pl. ÚS 5/12. A Legal revolution or negligible episode? Court of Justice decision proclaimed ultra vires. *Common Market Law Review* 2012;**49**:1475–91. doi:10.54648/COLA2012072

111

von der Groeben C. Aida Torres Perez. Conflicts of Rights in the European Union. A Theory of Supranational Adjudication. *European Journal of International Law*;**22**:296–300. doi:10.1093/ejil/chr017

112

Eckes C. Protecting Supremacy from External Influences: A Precondition for a European Constitutional Legal Order? *European Law Journal*;**18**:230–50. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00595.x

113

Itzcovich G. Legal Order, Legal Pluralism, Fundamental Principles. Europe and Its Law in Three Concepts. *European Law Journal*;**18**:358–84. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00604.x

114

Scicluna N. When Failure isn't Failure: European Union Constitutionalism after the Lisbon Treaty. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*,**50**:441-56.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02239.x

115

Hilson C, Downes TA. Making sense of rights: Community rights in E.C. law. *European Law Review* 1999;**24**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

116

Tridimas T. Black, White, and Shades of Grey: Horizontality of Directives Revisited. *Yearbook of European Law* 2001;**21**:327-54.<http://search.proquest.com/docview/1564197523?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=14182>

117

Barnard C, editor. *The Cambridge yearbook of European legal studies: Vol. 9: 2006-2007*. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2007.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/reader.action?docID=1772426&ppg=143>

118

Barnard C, editor. *The Cambridge yearbook of European legal studies: Volume 9: 2006-2007*. Oxford: : Hart 2007.

119

Dougan M. When worlds collide! Competing visions of the relationship between direct effect and supremacy. *Common Market Law Review* 2007;**44**:931-63.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/44.4/COLA2007095>

120

Barnard C, *Academy of European Law. The fundamentals of EU law revisited: assessing the impact of the constitutional debate*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199226221.001.0001/acprof-9780199226221>

121

Academy of European Law (Florence, Italy). The fundamentals of EU law revisited: assessing the impact of the constitutional debate. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007. <https://academic.oup.com/book/2907>

122

Craig P. The legal effect of Directives: policy, rules and exceptions. European Law Review 2009;**34**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

123

Leczykiewicz D. 'Effective judicial protection' of human rights after Lisbon: should national courts be empowered to review EU secondary law? European Law Review 2010;**35**:326-48.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

124

Niglia L. Form and Substance in European Constitutional Law: The 'Social' Character of Indirect Effect. European Law Journal;**16**:439-57. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00517.x

125

Papadopoulos T. Criticising the horizontal direct effect of the EU general principle of equality. European Law Review Published Online First: 2011.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

126

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-live>

127

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

128

Editorial Comments: Horizontal direct effect – A law of diminishing coherence? Common Market Law Review 2006;**43**:1–8. doi:10.54648/COLA2006001

129

Arnulf A. Out with the old... European Law Review 2006;**31**:1–2.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

130

Jans JH. The Effect in National Legal Systems of the Prohibition of Discrimination on Grounds of Age as a General Principle of Community Law. Legal Issues of Economic Integration 2007;**34**:53–66.<http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=LEIE2007004>

131

Masson A, Micheau C. The Werner Mangold Case: An Example of Legal Militancy. European Public Law 2007;**13**:587–93. doi:10.54648/EURO2007034

132

Craig P. The legal effect of Directives: policy, rules and exceptions. European Law Review 2009;**34**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

133

Thüsing G, Horler S. Case C-555/07, Seda Küçükdeveci v. Swedex, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 19 January 2010. *Common Market Law Review* 2010;**47**:1161–72.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/47.4/COLA2010049>

134

Peers S. Supremacy, equality and human rights: comment on Kucukdeveci (C-555/07). *European Law Review* 2010;**35**:849–56.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

135

The scope of application of the general principles of Union law: An ever expanding Union? *Common Market Law Review* 2010;**47**:1589–96.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/47.6/COLA2010067>

136

Muir E. Of ages in – and edges of – EU law. *Common Market Law Review* 2011;**48**:39–62.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/48.1/COLA2011003>

137

Arnull A, Barnard C, Dougan M. *Constitutional Order of States?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Bloomsbury Publishing 2011.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/reader.action?docID=752471&ppg=274>

138

Dashwood A, Arnull A. *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Oxford: : Hart 2011.

139

Drake S. Twenty years after Von Colson: the impact of 'indirect effect' on the protection of the individual's community rights. *European Law Review* 2005;**30**

:329-48.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

140

Betlem G. The Doctrine of Consistent Interpretation: Managing Legal Uncertainty. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*; **22**  
:397-418.<https://ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/3600652>

141

Craig PP. Directives: direct effect, indirect effect and the construction of national legislation. *European Law Review* 1997; **22**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

142

Figueroa Regueiro PV. Invocability of Substitution and Invocability of Exclusion: Bringing Legal Realism to the Current Developments of the Case-Law of 'Horizontal' Direct Effect of Directives. *The Jean Monnet Working Papers* 2002; **7**  
. <http://www.jeanmonnetprogram.org/archive/papers/02/020701.html>

143

Niglia L. Form and Substance in European Constitutional Law: The 'Social' Character of Indirect Effect. *European Law Journal*; **16**:439-57. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2010.00517.x

144

Ross M. Beyond Francovich. *The Modern Law Review* 1993; **56**  
:55-73.<https://sussex.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/1096574>

145

Harlow C. Francovich and the Problem of the Disobedient State. *European Law Journal*; **2**  
:199-225. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.1996.tb00026.x

146

Georgios Anagnostaras. Not as unproblematic as you might think: the establishment of causation in governmental liability actions. *European Law Review*  
<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

147

Anagnostaras, Georgios. State Liability and Alternative Courses of Action: How Independent Can an Autonomous Remedy Be? *Yearbook of European Law* 2001;**21**  
[.http://search.proquest.com/docview/1564197331?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&aaccountid=14182](http://search.proquest.com/docview/1564197331?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&aaccountid=14182)

148

Breuer M. State liability for judicial wrongs and Community law: the case of Gerhard Kobler v Austria. *European Law Review* 2004;**29**:243–54.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

149

Tison M. Do not attack the watchdog! Banking supervisor's liability after Peter Paul. *Common Market Law Review* 2005;**42**:639–75. doi:10.54648/COLA2005024

150

Roy W. Davis. Liability in damages for a breach of Community law: some reflections on the question of who to sue and the concept of 'the State'. *European Law Review* 2006;**31**  
[.http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law](http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law)

151

Cabral P, Chaves MC. Member State Liability for Decisions of National Courts Adjudicating at Last Instance. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* 2006;:109–26.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/maastje13&id=109>

152

Dimitra Nassimpian. ...And we keep on meeting: (de)fragmenting state liability. *European Law Review* 2007;**32**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

153

Havu K. Horizontal Liability for Damages in EU Law-the Changing Relationship of EU and National Law. *European Law Journal*; **18**:407-26. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00606.x

154

Beutler, Björn. State liability for breaches of Community law by national courts: Is the requirement of a manifest infringement of the applicable law an insurmountable obstacle? *Common Market Law Review*; **46**:773-804. <https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/46.3/COLA2009033>

155

Eilmansberger T. The relationship between rights and remedies in EC law: In search of the missing link. *Common Market Law Review*; **41**:1199-246. <http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2004049>

156

Kilpatrick C, Novitz T, Skidmore P, editors. *The future of remedies in Europe*. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2000. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/detail.action?docID=1750717>

157

Kilpatrick C, Novitz T, Skidmore P. *The future of remedies in Europe*. Hart 2000.

158

Craig PP, De Bu

rca G, editors. *The evolution of EU law*. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-live>



159

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

160

Ross M. Effectiveness in the European legal order(s): beyond supremacy to constitutional proportionality? *European Law Review* 2006;**31**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

161

Accetto M, Zleptnig S. The Principle of Effectiveness: Rethinking Its Role in Community Law. *European Public Law* 2005;**11**:375-403.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/European+Public+Law/11.3/EURO2005030>

162

Wattel PJ. National Procedural Autonomy and Effectiveness of EC Law: Challenge the Charge, File for Restitution, Sue for Damages? *Legal Issues of Economic Integration* 2008;**35**:109-32.

163

Beatson J, Tridimas T, editors. *New directions in European public law*. Oxford: : Hart Pub 1998. <http://suss.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1772332>

164

Beatson J, Tridimas T, editors. *New directions in European public law*. Oxford: : Hart Pub 1998.

165

Van Gerven W. Bridging the Unbridgeable: Community and National Tort Laws after Francovich and Brasserie. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*; **45**:507–44. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/760680>

166

Caranta R. Judicial protection against Member States: a new jus commune takes shape. *Common Market law review*; **32**:703–6. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=6feecc05-2646-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

167

Legrand P. European Legal Systems Are Not Converging. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 1996; **45**:52–81. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/761068>

168

Granger M-PF. National applications of Francovich and the construction of a European administrative ius commune. *European Law Review* 2007; **32**:157–92. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

169

Reich, Norbert. Horizontal liability in EC law: Hybridization of remedies for compensation in case of breaches of EC rights. *Common Market Law Review*; **44**:705–42. <https://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2007066>

170

Lenaerts, Koen. The Rule of Law and the Coherence of the Judicial System of the European Union. *Common Market Law Review* 2007; **44**:1625–59. <https://www.kluwerlawonline.com/abstract.php?area=Journals&id=COLA2007138>

171

Craig PP, De

Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-live>

172

Craig PP, De

Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

173

Knocking on Heaven's Door: Fragmentation, Efficiency and Defiance in the Preliminary Reference Procedure. Common Market Law Review 2010;**40**:9–50. doi:10.54648/5115417

174

Wattel PJ. Köbler , CILFIT and Welthgrove : We can't go on meeting like this. Common Market Law Review 2004;**41**:177–90. doi:10.54648/COLA2003075

175

Allott P. Preliminary rulings - another infant disease. European Law Review 2000;**25**:538–47.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

176

Barents R. Court of Justice in the Draft Constitution, The. Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law 2004;**11**  
[.http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=121&handle=hein.journals%2Fmaastje11&collection=journals](http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=121&handle=hein.journals%2Fmaastje11&collection=journals)

177

Komarek J. In the court(s) we trust? On the need for hierarchy and differentiation in the preliminary ruling procedure. *European Law Review* 2007;**32**:467–91.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

178

Giorgi F, Triart N. National Judges, Community Judges: Invitation to a Journey through the Looking-glass-On the Need for Jurisdictions to Rethink the Inter-systemic Relations beyond the Hierarchical Principle. *European Law Journal*;**14**:693–717.  
[doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2008.00441.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2008.00441.x)

179

*European Law Journal*. ;**14**  
[.https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/toc/14680386/2008/14/6](https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/toc/14680386/2008/14/6)

180

Rasmussen H. The European Court's acte clair strategy in CILFIT. *European Law Review* 1984;**9**:242–59.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=1086f1b4-f699-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

181

Mancini GF, Keeling DT. From CILFIT to ERT: The Constitutional Challenge facing the European Court. *Yearbook of European law* 1991;**11**:1–13.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=d5a4f9a0-dfed-e611-80c9-005056af4099>

182

Broberg M. Acte clair revisited: Adapting the acte clair criteria to the demands of the times. *Common Market Law Review* 2008;**45**:1383–97.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/45.5/COLA2008095>

183

Komarek J. In the court(s) we trust? On the need for hierarchy and differentiation in the preliminary ruling procedure. *European Law Review* 2007;**32**:467–91.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

184

Arnull A. The Law Lords and the European Union: swimming with the incoming tide. *European Law Review* 2010;**35**:57–87.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

185

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. *The evolution of EU law*. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-live>

186

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. *The evolution of EU law*. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

187

Dashwood A, Arnull A. *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Oxford [UK]: : Hart Pub 2011.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/detail.action?docID=752471>

188

Dashwood A, Arnull A. *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Hart 2011.

189

Greer S, Williams A. Human Rights in the Council of Europe and the EU: Towards 'Individual', 'Constitutional' or 'Institutional' Justice? *European Law Journal*; **15**:462–81. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2009.00473.x

190

Morano-Foadi S, Andreadakis S. Reflections on the Architecture of the EU after the Treaty of Lisbon: The European Judicial Approach to Fundamental Rights. *European Law Journal*; **17**:595–610. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00568.x

191

De Witte B. Ch. 27: 'The past and future role of the European Court of Justice in the protection of Human Rights' □□ The EU and human rights. In: Alston P, Bustelo MR, Heenan J, eds. *The EU and human rights*. Oxford University Press 1999. 859–97. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c5450f90-c93b-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

192

Alston P, Weiler JHH. An 'Ever Closer Union' in Need of a Human Rights Policy. *European Journal of International Law* 1998;**9**:658–723. doi:10.1093/ejil/9.4.658

193

Coppel J, O'Neill A. The European Court of Justice: taking rights seriously? *Legal Studies*; **12**:227–39. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.1992.tb00467.x

194

Weiler JHH, Lockhart NJS. "Taking rights seriously" seriously: The European Court and its fundamental rights jurisprudence – part I. *Common Market Law Review* 1995;**32**:51–94. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=6d040b1d-2546-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

195

Jacobs FG. Human rights in the European Union: the role of the Court of Justice. *European Law Review* 2001;**26**. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

196

Israel De Jesus Butler. Ensuring compliance with the Charter of Fundamental Rights in legislative drafting: the practice of the European Commission. *European Law Review* 2012; **37**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

197

Belling V. Supranational Fundamental Rights or Primacy of Sovereignty? *European Law Journal*; **18**:251–68. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00596.x

198

Hepple B. The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. *Industrial Law Journal* 2001; **30**:225–31. doi:10.1093/ilj/30.2.225

199

Jacobs FG. Human rights in the European Union: the role of the Court of Justice. *European Law Review* 2001; **26**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

200

La Torre M. The Law beneath Rights' Feet. Preliminary Investigation for a Study of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. *European Law Journal*; **8**:515–35. doi:10.1111/1468-0386.00163

201

Liisberg JB. Does the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights Threaten the Supremacy of Community Law? *Common Market Law Review* 2001; **38**:1171–99.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=87cfa6a7-68d3-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

202

Shuibhne NN. Margins of appreciation: national values, fundamental rights and EC free

movement law. *European Law Review* 2009;**34**:230–56.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

203

Shuibhne NN. The reality of rights: from rhetoric to opt-out. *European Law Review* 2009;**34**:815–6.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

204

Ortega L. Fundamental Rights in the European Constitution. *European Public Law* 2005;**11**:363–73.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/journalarticle/European+Public+Law/11.3/EURO2005029>

205

Peers S, Ward A, editors. *The European Union charter of fundamental rights: politics, law and policy*. Hart Publishing 2004.

206

Triantafyllou D. The European Charter of Fundamental Rights and the "Rule of Law": Restricting Fundamental Rights by Reference. *Common Market Law Review* 2002;**39**:53–64.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/39.1/402757>

207

Barnard C, *Academy of European Law. The fundamentals of EU law revisited: assessing the impact of the constitutional debate*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.  
<http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199226221.001.0001/acprof-9780199226221>

208

*Academy of European Law (Florence, Italy). The fundamentals of EU law revisited: assessing the impact of the constitutional debate*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.  
<https://academic.oup.com/book/2907>



209

Young AL. The Charter, Constitution and Human Rights: is this the Beginning or the End for Human Rights Protections by Community Law? *European Public Law* 2005;**11**:219–40. <https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/European+Public+Law/11.2/EURO2005020>

210

Jacqué JP. The accession of the European Union to the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. *Common Market Law Review* 2011;**48**:995–1023. <https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/48.4/COLA2011041>

211

Lock T. Walking on a tightrope: The draft ECHR accession agreement and the autonomy of the EU legal order. *Common Market Law Review* 2011;**48**:1025–54. <https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/48.4/COLA2011042>

212

Steering Committee for Human Rights (CDDH). Report to the Committee of Ministers on the elaboration of legal instruments for the accession of the European Union to the European Convention on Human Rights. statewatch: monitoring the state and civil liberties in Europe. 14AD. <https://www.statewatch.org/media/documents/news/2011/oct/coe-eu-accession-echr-14-10.11.pdf>

213

Editorial Comments: Fundamental rights and EU membership: Do as I say, not as I do! *Common Market Law Review* 2012;**49**:481–8. doi:10.54648/COLA2012017

214

Von Bogdandy A, Kottmann M, Antpöhler C, et al. Reverse Solange—Protecting the essence of fundamental rights against EU Member States. *Common Market Law Review* 2012;**49**



2Ctrue%252Cfalse%252Cfalse&amp;num=C-540%252F03&amp;td=%3BALL&amp;pcs=O  
or&amp;avg=&amp;page=1&amp;mat=or&amp;jge=&amp;for=&amp;cid=571183

221

Eleanor Drywood. Giving with one hand, taking with the other: fundamental rights, children and the family reunification decision. *European Law Review*  
<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

222

Craig PP, De Búrca G. *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Sixth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

223

Craig PP, De Búrca G. *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Sixth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

224

Craig P. Subsidiarity: A Political and Legal Analysis. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **50**:72–87. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02228.x

225

Kiiver P. The early-warning system for the principle of subsidiarity: the national parliament as a Conseil d'Etat for Europe. *European Law Review* 2011; **36**:98–108.  
<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

226

Conway G. Recovering a Separation of Powers in the European Union. *European Law Journal*; **17**:304–22. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2011.00552.x

227

Dinan D. Institutions and Governance: A New Treaty, a Newly Elected Parliament and a New Commission. *Journal of Common Market Studies*; **48**:95–118.

228

Hagemann S, Høyland B. Bicameral Politics in the European Union. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **48**:811–33. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2010.02075.x

229

Craig P. The ECJ and ultra vires action: A conceptual analysis. *Common Market Law Review* 2011; **48**:395–437. doi:10.54648/COLA2011018

230

Schütze R. Subsidiarity after Lisbon: Reinforcing the Safeguards of Federalism? *The Cambridge Law Journal* 2009; **68**:525–36. doi:10.1017/S0008197309990183

231

Cygan A. The parliamentarisation of EU decision-making? The impact of the Treaty of Lisbon on national parliaments. *European Law Review* 2011; **36**:480–99. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

232

Horsley T. Subsidiarity and the European Court of Justice: Missing Pieces in the Subsidiarity Jigsaw? *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*; **50**:267–82. doi:10.1111/j.1468-5965.2011.02221.x

233

Craig PP, De Búrca G. *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Sixth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

234

Muir E. Of ages in – and edges of – EU law. *Common Market Law Review* 2011;**48**:39–62.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/48.1/COLA2011003>

235

Anthony Arnull. The principle of effective judicial protection in EU law: an unruly horse? *European Law Review* 2011;**36**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

236

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. *The evolution of EU law*. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-live>

237

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. *The evolution of EU law*. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

238

Thüsing G, Horler S. Case C-555/07, *Seda Küçükdeveci v. Swedex*, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 19 January 2010. *Common Market Law Review* 2010;**10**:1161–72.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/47.4/COLA2010049>

239

Craig P. The legal effect of Directives: policy, rules and exceptions. *European Law Review* 2009;**34**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

240

Dougan M. When worlds collide! Competing visions of the relationship between direct effect and supremacy. *Common Market Law Review* 2007;**44**:931-63.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/44.4/COLA2007095>

241

Dashwood A, Arnall A. *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Oxford [UK]: : Hart Pub 2011.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/detail.action?docID=752471>

242

Dashwood A, Arnall A. *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Hart 2011.

243

Papadopoulos T. Criticising the horizontal direct effect of the EU general principle of equality. *European Law Review* Published Online First: 2011.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

244

Peers S. Supremacy, equality and human rights: comment on Kucukdeveci (C-555/07). *European Law Review* 2010;**35**:849-56.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

245

The scope of application of the general principles of Union law: An ever expanding Union? *Common Market Law Review* 2010;**47**:1589-96.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/47.6/COLA2010067>

246

Beutler B. State liability for breaches of Community law by national courts: Is the

requirement of a manifest infringement of the applicable law an insurmountable obstacle?  
Common Market Law Review 2009;**46**  
:773–804.[https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/Common+Mark  
et+Law+Review/46.3/COLA2009033](https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/46.3/COLA2009033)

247

Adam Cygan. Defining a sufficiently serious breach of Community law: the House of Lords casts its net into the waters. European Law Review 2000;**25**  
. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

248

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press  
2011.  
[http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-li  
ve](http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-live)

249

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. The evolution of EU law. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press  
2011.

250

Tridimas T. Liability for Breach of Community Law: Growing Up and Mellowing Down?  
Common Market Law Review 2001;**38**:301–32. doi:10.54648/334301

251

Anagnostaras G. Not as unproblematic as you might think: the establishment of causation  
in governmental liability actions. European Law Review (EL Rev) 2002;**27**  
:663–76.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

252

Davis RW. Liability in damages for a breach of Community law: some reflections on the question of who to sue and the concept of 'the State'. *European Law Review* 2006;**31**:69–80.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

253

van Gerven W. Of rights, remedies and procedures. *Common Market Law Review* 2000;**37**:501–36.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=f6213619-f699-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

254

Accetto M, Zleptnig S. The Principle of Effectiveness: Rethinking Its Role in Community Law. *European Public Law* 2005;**11**:375–403.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.ezproxy.sussex.ac.uk/JournalArticle/European+Public+Law/11.3/EURO2005030>

255

British Institute of International and Comparative Law, Rijksuniversiteit te Leiden. Europa Instituut. *Common Market Law Review*.  
<http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/toc.php?area=Journals&mode=bypub&level=4&values=Journals~~Common+Market+Law+Review>

256

Ross M. Effectiveness in the European legal order(s): beyond supremacy to constitutional proportionality? *European Law Review* 2006;**31**.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

257

Craig PP, De Búrca G. *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Sixth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

258

Komarek J. In the court(s) we trust? On the need for hierarchy and differentiation in the



preliminary ruling procedure. *European Law Review* 2007;**32**  
:467-91.<http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

259

Wattel PJ. Köbler , CILFIT and Welthgrove : We can't go on meeting like this. *Common Market Law Review* 2004;**41**:177-90. doi:10.54648/COLA2003075

260

Broberg M. Acte clair revisited: Adapting the acte clair criteria to the demands of the times. *Common Market Law Review* 2008;**45**  
:1383-97.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/45.5/COLA2008095>

261

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. *The evolution of EU law*. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=694179&site=ehost-live>

262

Craig PP, De  
Bu

rca G, editors. *The evolution of EU law*. Second edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

263

Craig PP, De Búrca G. *EU law: text, cases, and materials*. Sixth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2015.

264

Greer S, Williams A. Human Rights in the Council of Europe and the EU: Towards 'Individual', 'Constitutional' or 'Institutional' Justice? *European Law Journal*; **15**:462–81. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2009.00473.x

265

Lock T. Walking on a tightrope: The draft ECHR accession agreement and the autonomy of the EU legal order. *Common Market Law Review* 2011; **48**:1025–54. <https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/48.4/COLA2011042>

266

Dashwood A, Arnall A. *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Hart Publishing 2011. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/detail.action?docID=752471>

267

Dashwood A, Arnall A. *A constitutional order of states?: essays in EU law in honour of Alan Dashwood*. Hart 2011.

268

Shuibhne NN. Margins of appreciation: national values, fundamental rights and EC free movement law. *European Law Review* 2009; **34**:230–56. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

269

Jacobs FG. Human rights in the European Union: the role of the Court of Justice. *European Law Review* 2001; **26**. <http://guides.lib.sussex.ac.uk/Law>

270

Itzcovich G. Legal Order, Legal Pluralism, Fundamental Principles. *Europe and Its Law in Three Concepts*. *European Law Journal*; **18**:358–84. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0386.2012.00604.x

271

Jacqué JP. The accession of the European Union to the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. *Common Market Law Review* 2011;**48**:995–1023.<https://kluwerlawonline-com.sussex.idm.oclc.org/JournalArticle/Common+Market+Law+Review/48.4/COLA2011041>

272

Vossestein, Gert-Jan. Cross-Border Transfer of Seat and Conversion of Companies under the EC Treaty Provisions on Freedom of Establishment. *European Company Law*; **6**:115–23.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=5d2bc332-54f4-e711-80cd-005056af4099>

273

Berki G. Free movement of patients in the E.U.: a patient's perspective. [S.l.]: : Intersentia 2018.

274

Neve G de, Prentice R, editors. *Unmaking the global sweatshop: health and safety of the world's garment workers*. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: : University of Pennsylvania Press 2017. <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/suss/detail.action?docID=5106180>

275

Prentice R, Neve G de, editors. *Unmaking the global sweatshop: health and safety of the world's garment workers*. Pennsylvania: : University of Pennsylvania Press 2017.